

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	— Coker sheet - INFE INSTRUC	THINX ON NEXT PAGE (ir THIS FC	<u> </u>			
• •				DEFENDANTS			
Julian Bradley				Amazon.com, Inc. and EasyAcc.com, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery County			y	County of Residence		_	
(1:	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	•	CLAINTIFF CASES OF ON CASES, USE TINVOLVED.	· ·
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Feeda R. Musitief, Esqui 1333 Race Street, Philad 215-665-0100	re Fine and Staud, I	²⁷⁾ LLC		Attorneys (If Known) Keith Heinold Ma 2000 Market Stree 215-575-2640 (att	t, Suite 23	300, Philadelph	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	Ine Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	rr def		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizo	en of This State	(1 0 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant		nip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗗 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS		DECITIONDELLAN	1 House 2015	(KDIDTOV-	OTHER COLUMN
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	- 1	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure		RRUPTCY al 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane		- 1	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With		376 Qui Tam (31 USC
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 69	0 Other	28 U	ISC 157	3729(a))
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical	Ì		PROPE	RTYRIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy	rrights	☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	,		□ 830 Pater □ 840 Trade		450 Commerce
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product	'		D 640 Hack	CHIAIK	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR		SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	XTY 17 71	Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA □ 862 Black		480 Consumer Credit
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	O 72	0 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI ((405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	''	Leave Act			893 Environmental Matters
	Medical Malpractice			0 Other Labor Litigation			895 Freedom of Information
■ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 🗆 🗆 79	1 Employee Retirement		AL TAX SUITS	Act
220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	,		□ 871 IRS-	•	Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 U	SC 7609	Agency Decision
290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty	100 (100 to 100	IMMIGRATION	<u> </u>		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
. ,	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1		Sime Biatates
	 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other 		ег 🗀 46	5 Other Immigration	-		
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions			
		560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" i. □ 1 Original ×2 Re	n One Box Only) moved from 3		□ 4 Rein	stated or 🗖 5 Transfe	l	☐ 6 Multidistr	ict
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened Anothe (specify)	r District	Litigation Transfer	
THE CALLER OF LOWER	- 1 28 H S C & 1332	ntute under which you ar	re filing (L	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless di	versity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of co	ause:	om, Inc.	is a citizen of WA a	nd DE: Ea	svAcc.com. Inc	c. is a citizen of China.
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	C		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DATE /		/ SIGNATURE OF ATT	LOBVIEN U	PECOPD	DOCKE	T NUMBER	
4/6/1-	7	Signature of All		y KECOKO			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	t t	THE STATE OF				.,,	
RECEIPT # AN	TNUON	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
215-575-2640	215-575-0856	kdheinold@mdwcg.co	om_		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
4/6/17	Mux DIAd	Amazon.com, Inc.			
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(/)		
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into track complex and that need special ide of this form for a detailed of	or intense management by	()		
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases I	a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	se Management Track Designate a copy on all defendants. (Second that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance,	Reduction Plan of this court, couns ation Form in all civil cases at the time \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and ser k Designation Form specifying the ed.	me of everse g said ve on		
Amazon.com, Inc. and EasyAcc.com, Inc.	:	NO.			
v.	: :				
Julian Bradley	ulian Bradley : CIVI				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Julian Bradley	:	CIVIL ACTION		
ν.	: :			
Amazon.com, Inc. and EasyAcc.com, Inc.	; ;	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par to which that defendant belie	se Management Track Designation a copy on all defendants. (See § went that a defendant does not a hall, with its first appearance, subsequence, subs	uction Plan of this court, counsel for n Form in all civil cases at the time of 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse gree with the plaintiff regarding said omit to the clerk of court and serve on Designation Form specifying the track		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	prought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 t	hrough § 2255. ()		
	equesting review of a decision of ying plaintiff Social Security Ber			
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury or p	property damage from ()		
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks (a complex and that need special or ide of this form for a detailed exp	intense management by		
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any on	the of the other tracks. (\checkmark)		
4/6/17	_ Kwhs/Hd	Amazon.com, Inc.		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
215-575-2640	215-575-0856	kdheinold@mdwcg.com		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Addı	ess of Plaintiff 319 E. Mill Road, Hatboro, Pennsylvania 19040				
Addı	ess of Defendant 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109				_
Place	of Accident, Incident or Transaction Date of accident was March 18, 201	6. Location of a			
Does	this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent c	corporation and a	any pu	blicly held corporat	tion owning 10% or more if its stock
	ach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.C	-	,	Yes 🗆	-
	this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? ATED CASE, IF ANY:			Yes 🗀	No ⊠
Case	Number: Judge		Date 1	Terminated	
Civil	cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questi	ions:			
1.	Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending	g or within one y	ear pro	eviously terminated	action in this court?
Yes No No Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminaction in this court? Yes No				e year previously terminated	
3.	Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in su	it or any earlier	numbe	er case pending or v	vithin one year previously
	terminated action in this court?	·			No 🖾
CIVI	L: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			The state of the s	
A.	Federal Question Cases:	В.	Dive	ersity Jurisdiction Co	ases
1.	Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.		Insurance Contra	ct and Other Contracts
2.	☐ FELA	2.		Airplane Persona	l Injury
3.	☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3.		Assault, Defamat	ion
4.	Antitrust	4.		Marine Personal	Injury
5.	Patent	5.		Motor Vehicle Pe	ersonal Injury
6.	Labor-Management Relations	6.		Other Personal In	jury (Please specify)
7.	☐ Civil Rights	7.	\boxtimes	Products Liability	
8.	☐ Habeas Corpus	8.		Products Liability	y – Asbestos
9.	Securities Act(s) Cases	9.		All other Diversit	y Cases
10.	Social Security Review Cases			(Please specify)	
11.	☐ All other Federal Question Cases				
	(Please specify)				
I,	ARBITRATION CEF (Check appropriate counsel of record do her	Category)	ON		
_	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my k	cnowledge and b	elief, tl	ne damages recovera	able in this civil action case
exce	ed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	-	•	-	
	Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DAT	E.				30244
ואט	E Attomey-at-Law	•			Attorney I.D. #
	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if			-	
	tify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now of as noted above.	pending or with	hin on	e year previously (terminated action in this court
DAT	E 4/6/17 (Luty)	MA			30244
	Attorney-at-Law	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Attorney I.D. #

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JULIAN BRADLEY

CIVIL ACTION

v.

NO.

EASYACC.COM, INC.

and

AMAZON.COM, INC.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT, AMAZON.COM, INC.

Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc. (hereinafter "Amazon"), by and through its attorneys, Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin, hereby respectfully files the Notice of Removal of this action, which is currently pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, February Term 2017, No. 07699, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446, and in support thereof, avers the following.

INTRODUCTION I.

Plaintiff, Julian Bradley (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), commenced this products 1. liability action by filing a Complaint on or about March 1, 2017 in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, which asserts claims in negligence and strict liability against Amazon and co-defendant EasyAcc.com, Inc. (hereinafter "EasyAcc") for an allegedly defective iPhone charger that caught on fire in Plaintiff's pants pocket, allegedly causing him to sustain serious and permanent burn injuries. See Plaintiff's Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

THIS CASE IS REMOVABLE UNDER DIVERSITY JURISDICTION II.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), a defendant may remove a case from state court 2. to federal court based on diversity jurisdiction, and pursuant to § 1332(a)(1), a federal district court may exercise diversity jurisdiction where the parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

3. For the reasons detailed herein, this case is removable on the basis of diversity.

A. Complete diversity exists

- 4. Plaintiff is an individual with a stated residence at 319 E. Mill Road in Hatboro, Pennsylvania. See Exhibit "A" at ¶ 1. Plaintiff is a citizen of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a corporation is deemed to be a citizen of every state in which it has been incorporated, and of the state where it has its principal place of business. Amazon.com, Inc. was incorporated in the State of Delaware and maintains its principal place of business in the State of Washington, and therefore, Amazon.com, Inc. is a citizen of Delaware and Washington.
- 6. The citizenship of a limited liability company is determined by the citizenship of each of its members. See Johnson v. Smithkline Beecham Corp., 742 F.3d 337, 348 (3d Cir. 2013); Zambelli Fireworks Mfg. Co. v. Wood, 592 F.3d 412, 420 (3d Cir. 2010).
- 7. Upon investigation into co-defendant EasyAcc.com, Inc., counsel for Amazon determined that EasyAcc is the registered name of a product line, and that the name was registered by Searay LLC. Searay LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that has four members, all individuals who are citizens of China. See email correspondence from Jason Xie (jason@easyacceu.com), dated March 31, 2017, attached as Exhibit "B."
- 8. Therefore, for purposes of diversity, Searay LLC, the appropriate entity in this matter, is a citizen of China and diverse from Plaintiff, a citizen of Pennsylvania.

B. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000

- 9. Further, the amount in controversy in this matter exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 10. This products liability matter involves an individual who allegedly "sustained serious and permanent injuries including without limitation, injuries to his muscles, thigh, leg, hand, scars, scarring wounds, second degree deep burn on upper right thigh, burns and scars on right thigh/leg, left hand burns, swelling, redness, blisters, muscle pain, and aggravation of pre-existing conditions if any, other ills and injuries some or all of which injuries may be permanent," and who is making a claim for loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, and other economic damages. See Exhibit "A," ¶ 29. In his Complaint, Plaintiff alleges damages "in excess of \$50,000." See Exhibit "A."
- 11. Given the extent of injuries alleged in this matter and the undersigned counsel's experience with lawsuits involving burn injuries, it is clear that Plaintiff is demanding an amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, in excess of the jurisdictional minimum set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

III. SERVICE OF COMPLAINT

12. Amazon was served with the Complaint on March 15, 2017, and therefore, its removal has been timely filed in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3). See Affidavit of Service, attached as Exhibit "C."

IV. VENUE OF REMOVED ACTION

13. This action may be removed to this Court by Amazon pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because this case was initially brought in a state court within the geographical area of the

Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and because this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

V. NOTICE TO OTHER PARTIES

- 14. Amazon is not required to obtain consent for removal from EasyAcc.com, Inc. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)(2), as EasyAcc.com, Inc. has not been served.
- 15. Written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal has been given to all adverse parties in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), and is noted in the Certificate of Service attached hereto.

VI. NOTICE TO STATE COURT

16. Promptly after filing in this Court and the assignment of a Civil Action Number, a Notice of Removal will be filed with the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

VII. PLEADINGS IN THE STATE COURT ACTION

- 17. Amazon filed Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Complaint, which were pending at the time that this Notice of Removal was filed. In accordance with F.R.C.P. 81, Amazon will file an Answer in this Court within seven days of filing this Notice of Removal.
- 18. All relevant pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). These consist of the aforementioned Exhibit "A," as well as additional documents that are collectively attached hereto as Exhibit "C."

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc., respectfully requests that this action now pending against it in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, February Term 2017,

No. 07699, be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY:

KÉITH D. HEINOLD Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc.

Date: 4/6/17

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

SS

COUNTY OF PENNSYLVANIA

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

KEITH D. HEINOLD, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that the facts set forth in the foregoing Notice of Removal are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

KEITH D. HEINOLD

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED:

BEFORE ME THIS /

DAY:

OF

. 2017:

NOTARY PUBLIC

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

NOTARIAL SEAL

Audrey M. Gaffney, Notary Public City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County My commission expires July 01, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing Notice of Removal was served this date, via United States Mail, to the following persons:

Feeda R. Musitief, Esquire 1333 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19107 (Attorney for Plaintiff)

Searay LLC c/o INCUSA LLC 36 Berkley Drive Newark, DE 19702 (Registrant of co-Defendant EasyAcc)

BY:

KEITH D. HEINOLD Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc.

EXHIBIT "A"

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County Trial Division	** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
Civil Cover Sheet	FEBRUARY 2017 007699
PLAINTIFF'S NAME JULIAN BRADLEY	DEFENDANT'S NAME AMAZON . COM, INC .
PLANTIFFS ADDRESS 319 E. MILL ROAD HATBORO PA 19040	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS 410 TERRY AVENUE NORTH SEATTLE WA 98109
PLAINYIFF'S NAME	DEFENDANTS NAME AMAZON.COM, INC.
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANTS ADDRESS XYZ XYZ PA 19107
PLAINTIFF'S NAME	DEFEINANT'S NAME
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAINTIFFS 1 TOTAL NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS	COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION X Complaint Petition Action Notice of Appeal
IXI Jury	Settlement De Conumerce De Settlement De Action De Minor Court Appeal De Minor Court A
CASE TYPE AND CODE 20 - PERSONAL INJURY - OTHER	
STATUTORY BASIS FOR CAUSE OF ACTION	
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE CAPTION AND DOCKET NUMBER)	FIED IS CASE SUBJECT TO COORDINATION ORDER? YES NO WAR 01 2017
	K. EDWARDS
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintiff/Petitioner Papers may be served at the address set forth below.	n/Appellant; JULIAN BRADLEY
NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S PETITIONER'S APPELLANT'S ATTORNEY FEEDA R. MUSITIEF PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER	ADDRESS FINE AND STAUD LLP 1333 RACE STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19107
(215) 665-0100 (215) 665-1393 SUPPLIE COURT IDENTIFICATION NO. 202768	E-MAL ADDRESS fmusitief@fineandstaud.com
SIGNATURE OF FILING ATTORNEY OR PARTY FEEDA MUSITIEF	DATE SUBMITTED Wednesday, March 01, 2017, 10:00 am

Control of the second of the second second of the second o

FINE AND STAUD, LLC

BY: FEEDAR. MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE Attorney I.D.: 202768 1333 RACE STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-1585 215-665-0100 Finusitief@FineandStaud.com

JULIAN BRADLEY 319 E. Mill Road Hatboro, PA 19040

Plaintiff,

V.S

EASYACC.COM, INC.

and AMAZON.COM, INC. 410 Terry Avenue North Seattle, WA 98109

Defendants

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF



COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

_TERM 2017

NO.:

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

NOTICE TO PLEAD

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attempt and filling in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you.

You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER

AVISQ

"Le hand demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiero defenderse de estas domandas expuestas en las páginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificación. Hace falta asentar una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abugado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomará medidas y puede continuar la demanda en coutra suya sin previo aviso o notificación. Además, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA À UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE, SI NO TIBNE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINBRO SUFICIENTE DE FAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

ASOCIACION DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA

LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION Lawyer Referral & Information Service 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 238-6333 TTY# (215) 451-6197 Servicio De Referencia E. Informacion 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor (215) 238-6333 TTY# (215) 451-6197

FINE AND STAUD, LLC

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

BY: FEEDAR. MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D.: 202768 1333 RACE STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-1585

215-665-0100

Finusitief@FineandStaud.com

MAJOR JURY

JULIAN BRADLEY : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
319 E. Mill Road : PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Hatboro, PA 19040

Plaintiff,

TERM 2017

EASYACC.COM, INC.

: NO.:

.1

and

AMAZON.COM, INC.

410 Terry Avenue North Seattle, WA 98109

Defendant

Defendants

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

COMPLAINT .

Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, by and through his attorneys, Fine and Staud, LLC states he has multiple causes of action against Defendants, Easyaco.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc and in support thereof avers the following:

I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, is an adult individual and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at the above stated address.
- 2. Defendant, Easyacc.com, Inc ("EasyAce"), upon information and belief, is a corporation existing by virtue of the laws of China/Hong Kong which regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with substantial contacts in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. After reasonable investigation, Plaintiff is unaware of a good address for service of the Complaint for Defendant, EasyAcc.

- 3. EasyAcc is vicariously liable for the negligent and/or reckless acts and/or omissions of its employees, servants, workmen, and/or agents who at all material times were acting or failing to act in the course and scope of their employment, authority, and/or agency. At all material times, Easyacc was the manufacturer of EasyAcc Ichoc Power Bank 5000 which is an external battery pack charger for Iphone and other smart devices (hereinafter "Charger").
- 4. Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc ("Amazon"), upon information and belief, is a corporation and online commerce giant which regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with substantial contacts in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and with offices at the above stated address,
- 5. Amazon is vicariously liable for the negligent acts and/or omissions of its employees, servants, workmen, and/or agents who at all material times were acting or failing to act in the course and scope of their employment, authority, and/or agency.
- 6. At all material times, Amazon sold and distributed the Charger.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Jurisdiction and Venue are proper in the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in that Defendants regularly conduct business in Philadelphia and all events relevant to this matter occurred in Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

III. OPERATIVE FACTS

- 8. On or about July 4, 2015, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley's fiancée, Lauren Henriques, purchased for him the Charge his Iphone under order # 115-3206735-0713052 from Amazon.
- 9. At all material times, the Charger was wireless.
- ·10. On or about March 18, 2016, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, was charging his Iphone and placed his Iphone and the Charger in his right leg Jean's pocket.

- 11. At all times relevant, while Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, was charging his Iphone using the Charger, the Charger, suddenly and without warning, ignited, exploded and caught fire causing severe and permanent injuries to Plaintiff.
- 12. The incident and the injuries suffered by Plaintiff were caused solely by the negligence, carelessness, and recklessness of Defendants, Amazon and EasyAce, jointly and/or severally.

COUNT I <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> JULIAN BRADLEY y. DEFENDANTS

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates all of the proceeding paragraphs of this Complaint as set forth fully at length herein.
- 14. Defendants did manufacture, sell and/or distribute the charger in question.
- 15. At all material times, Defendants owned, manufactured, distributed, controlled and/or otherwise caused the charger to be placed into the stream of commerce.
- 16. At all material times, Defendants were responsible for manufacturing and distributing the charger in a condition suitable and safe for operation by members of the public including Plaintiff.
- 17. At all material times, the charger was unreasonably dangerous and unsafe for use by the average consumer as it could suddenly ignite, explode, and catch fire posing serious harm to members of the public.
- 18. Defendants knew or should have known that the charger was capable of igniting, exploding, and catching fire while being used as intended.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendants were aware of prior incidents involving the sudden ignition and explosion of the charger in question but continued to market and distribute the charger.
- 20. Defendants knew or should have known that the Charger sold to Plaintiff was not reasonably safe.
- 21. At all times relevant, Defendants failed to warn the public including but not limited to the dangers involved in using this charger.

- 22. At all material times, the dangers posed to Plaintiff from the Charger were latent and unknown to him.
- 23. At all material times, the charger and its packaging did not warn that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and otherwise catch fire.
- 24. The latent danger was created by the negligence and recklessness of Defendants.
- 25. At all material times, Defendants failed to warn consumers including Plaintiff of the dangers posed from the charger including but not limited to the fact that it could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire while being used as intended.
- 26. Plaintiff's injuries were caused solely by the negligence and recklessness of the Defendants.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and recklessness, Plaintiff was caused to suffer severe and permanent injuries as described below.
- 28. Defendant's negligence consisted of but is not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to warn Plaintiff that the charger was defective;
 - b. Failing to warn that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire;
 - Failing to warn that the charger's battery could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire;
 - d. Failing to warn of the latent and hidden danger created from using the charger as intended;
 - Failing to properly redesign, remanufacture, repair and/or maintain said charger before it was sold or distributed;
 - f. Permitting a defective and dangerous charger to be used by Plaintiff;
 - g. Failing to climinate, repair and maintain the aforesaid defective charger;
 - h. Allowing and permitting there to exist a dangerous and defective condition after actual and/or constructive notice of said defect;

- Manufacturing, distributing and selling a charger that they knew or should have known could ignite, explode and catch fire;
- Failing to take the charger out of stream of commerce after actual and/or constructive notice of its defective condition;
- k. Failing to warn the Plaintiff and others similarly situated of the aforesaid defective and dangerous condition of the charger;
- 1. Allowing and permitting said charger to be and exist in a defective condition;
- m. Failing to maintain the charger in a good state of repair and condition before it was put in the chain of commerce;
- r. Failing to properly and adequately inspect the aforesaid defective charger so as to determine the condition thereof;
- o. Violating applicable laws, codes, and ordinances with respect to the care, maintenance, control and repair of the charger provided to Plaintiff;
- p. Negligent hiring of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- q. Negligent training of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- r. Negligent supervision of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- s. Failing to properly fix the dangerous condition;
- t. Failing to remove the dangerous condition;
- u. Falling to warn of the dangerous condition;
- v. Failing to provide a safe electrical system and/or battery in the charger;
- w. Failing to provide proper materials and component parts when manufacturing the charger;
- x. Failing to design the aforesaid charger in a safe condition;
- y. Creating, manufacturing and distributing the charger that could suddenly ignite, explode, and catch fire while being used as intended;

- z. Inadequate design of the charger, and
- aa. Failing to exercise caution and care for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the carclessness, negligence and recklessness of Defendants, jointly, severally, and/or vicariously, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, sustained serious and permanent bodily injuries including without limitation, injuries to his muscles, thigh, leg, hand, scars, scarring wounds, second degree deep burn on upper right thigh, burns and scars on right thigh/leg, left hand burn, swelling, redness, blisters, muscle pain, and aggravation of pre-existing conditions if any, other ills and injuries some or all of which injuries may be permanent; Plaintiff was prevented from attending to his usual duties and activities, has and continues to suffer pain and suffering of mind and body, mental anguish and distress; in addition, he has suffered and/or will suffer lost wages, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity and other economic losses including but not limited to outstanding medical bills and the need for future medical treatment and care all to his financial detriment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Julian Bradley, hereby requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants, Easyaco.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc, jointly and/or severally, in a sum greater than \$50,000.00 plus interest, delay damages, and costs of suit.

COUNT II STRICT LIABILITY JULIAN BRADLEY v. DEFENDANTS

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates all of the proceeding paragraphs of this Complaint as set forth fully at length herein.
- 31. The charger was designed, manufactured, developed, distributed, assembled, produced, inspected, licensed, promoted, packaged, processed, compounded, labeled, specified or recommended, certified, marketed, sold, or otherwise supplied and placed in the stream of commerce as heretofore set forth by Defendants in a manner which was not in a good and proper workmanlike fashion, in a defective

condition unreasonably dangerous to the ultimate users, consumers, and bystanders, including Plaintiff Julian Bradley.

- 32. The charger was expected to and did reach the ultimate users, consumers, and bystanders, including Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, without substantial change or alteration and in the same or substantially the same condition as when it was manufactured, sold, labeled, or distributed, while in or as it left the possession and control of Defendants.
- 33. The aforementioned charger was defective and unsafe when it left the control of Defendants, in that it was not safe for the reasonably foreseeable use which subjected Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, to serious injuries when the aforementioned charger was used in a reasonable and foreseçable manner.
- 34. The charger was defectively designed and/or manufactured in that it was capable of catching fire and seriously injuring consumers.
- 35. The charger posed an unreasonable danger to intended users such as Plaintiff due to its defect.
- 36. The charger was defective and unsafe because it could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire causing severe and permanent injuries to Plaintiff.
- 37. Upon information and belief, the charger was defective and unreasonably dangerous as it did not contain all appropriate safety mechanisms and safeguards to prevent the aforesaid harm from occurring.
- 38. The charger was defectively and negligently manufactured and/or distributed by Defendants causing the product to be unreasonably dangerous and causing the aforementioned barm to occur.
- 39. The design of the charger poses unreasonable danger to the public,
- 40. Upon information and belief, the charger contained defective components and warnings causing the product to be unreasonably dangerous and causing the aforementioned harm to occur.
- 41. The charger that injured Plaintiff was in the same or substantially the same condition at the time it injured Plaintiff as compared to the time it left the control of the Defendants.
- The charger was being used for its intended purpose at the time it injured Plaintiff.

- 43. Upon information and belief, at all material times hereto, the warnings if any accompanying the charger were defective and did not properly inform and warn the public as to the hidden danger created by the charger which included that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and eatch fire.
- 44. Upon information and belief, the warnings if any, contained on or with the charger, issued by Defendants did not adequately and fully inform the public on the safe use of the product including but not limited to properly use it for its intended purpose.
- 45. Defendants failed to warn concerning the hazard posed from the ordinary usage of the charger.
- 46. Due to the defective condition(s) of the product and warnings, a significant danger was permitted to exist which caused the harm suffered by Plaintiff.
- 47. At all material times, this danger was latent and unknown to Plaintiff but appreciated and known by Defendants.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned unsafe and defective condition(s) of the charger, Plaintiff sustained severe and permanent injuries as previously mentioned above.
- 49. Defendants are strictly liable to the Plaintiff pursuant to 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts.
- 50. The aforementioned charger was not equipped with every element necessary to make it safe for reasonably foreseeable use.
- 51. Defendants are strictly liable for the injuries Plaintiff suffered as a result of his use of the defective charger, which at all pertinent times was under the exclusive control of the Defendants.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned unsafe and defective condition of the Charger, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, sustained the injuries and damages set as aforementioned.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, hereby requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants, Easyacc.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc, jointly and/or severally, in a sum greater than \$50,000.00 plus interest, delay damages, and costs of suit.

COUNT III PUNITIVE DAMAGE JULIAN BRADLEY v. DEFENDANTS

- 53. The averments of all preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. At all material times, the production and distribution of the charger was substantially below industry standards and done with reckless disregard for the safety of the public including Julian Bradley.
- 55. Defendants knew and/or recklessly disregarded the fact that the charger Plaintiff was using was highly dangerous and could result in serious injury.
- 56. Upon information and belief, Defendants were well aware prior to Plaintiff's injury that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire.
- 57. Upon information and belief, other incidents where the charger suddenly ignited, exploded and caught fire have occurred before and after Plaintiff's incident which have caused injury or substantially endangered members of the public but Defendants recklessly, wantonly, and with great deliberate indifference to the well-being of public continued to market and sell the charger without change and without adequate warning to the public.
- 58. Defendants deliberately and/or recklessly made no effort to warn the public including Plaintiff to the known risks of the charger creating an unreasonable risk of physical harm to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 59. Defendants' recklessness and reckless disregard consisted of but is not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to warn Plaintiff that the charger was defective;
 - Selling and distributing a product known to Defendants to suddenly ignite,
 explode and catch fire;
 - c. Concealing that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire;
 - d. Failing to warn of the latent and hidden danger created from permitting the use of the charger;

- e. Falling to properly repair and maintain said charger before it was sold/distributed;
- f. Permitting a defective and dangerous charger to be used by Plaintiff;
- g. Failing to eliminate, repair and maintain the aforesaid defective charger;
- Marketing and selling a product known to Defendants to cause serious harm or injury;
- Allowing and permitting there to exist a dangerous and defective condition after actual and/or constructive notice of said defect;
- j. Failing to take the charger out of stream of commerce after actual and/or constructive notice of its defective condition;
- k. Failing to warn the Plaintiff and others similarly situated of the aforesaid defective and dangerous condition of the charger;
- 1. Allowing and permitting said charger to be and exist in a defective condition;
- m. Failing to maintain the charger in a good state of repair and condition before it was put in the chain of commerce;
- n. Failing to properly and adequately inspect the aforesaid defective charger so as to determine the condition thereof;
- o. Violating applicable laws, codes, and ordinances with respect to the care, maintenance, control and repair of the charger provided to Plaintiff;
- p. Reckless hiring of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- q. Reckless training of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- r. Reckless supervision of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- s. Failing to properly treat the dangerous condition;
- t, Failing to remove the dangerous condition;
- u. Failing to warn of the dangerous condition; and

Failing to exercise caution and care for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others.

Failing to provide a safe electrical system in the charger; W.

Failing to provide proper materials and component parts when manufacturing the χ. charger;

Failing to redesign and remanufacture the charger after knowing of the serious y. danger posed to consumers;

Failing to design the aforesaid charger in a safe condition; and

aa. Failing to exercise caution and care for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others.

60. Defendants' actions demonstrate recklessness and reckless disregard to Plaintiff's health and well being.

61. As a direct result of Defendants' recklessness and deliberate indifference, Plaintiff suffered injuries as pled above.

62. Punitive damages are appropriate against Defendants to deter further harmful inaction and/or conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, Easyace.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand Dollars, (\$50,000.00) including compensatory damages, punitive damages, costs of litigation, interest, and delay damages, and all other relief deemed just and appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

FINE AND STAUD, LUC

Feeda R. Musitiof, Esquire

Attorneys for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, Julian Bradley, hereby state that I am the Plaintiff in this matter and that the averments contained in the foregoing pleading/discovery are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and further that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification.

Julian Bradley

EXHIBIT "B"

Castafero, Kelly J.

From: Sent:

Jason Xie [jason@easyacceu.com] Friday, March 31, 2017 11:44 AM

To:

Castafero, Kelly J.

Subject:

Re: Inquiry about Searay LLC

Hi Kelly

Thanks for the effort in this case.

According to what I know, I can provide the information as below:

- (1) how many members there are, -- There are 4 members, all are Chinese. Names are: Yamin LIU, Yeping XIE, Wei PAN, Xing SU.
- (2) whether any members are individuals (and where they live) -- They are all individuals and they are living in China.
- (3) whether any are corporations (and where they are incorporated/ where their principal place of business lies), -- 36 Berkley Dr.

Newark, DE 19702

(4) whether they are other types of entities such as LLCs -- Just Searay LLC

If you need more information, please let me know.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen / Kind regards

Jason Xie

26 Furley Road, London, SE15 5UQ, United Kingdom

Mobile: +49 15227702217 E-mail: jason@easyacceu.com

SkypeID: skypeyechen

Internet: www.easyacc.com

2017-03-31 23:25 GMT+08:00 Castafero, Kelly J. <<u>KJCastafero@mdwcg.com</u>>:

Jason,

I was given your contact information by LegalForce RAPC. My firm represents Amazon in a lawsuit against it by an individual who claims to have been injured when an EasyAcc iPhone charger that he purchased on Amazon caught on fire in his pocket. EasyAcc.com, Inc. is also a defendant in the lawsuit. I understand that EasyAcc is actually just a product line of Searay LLC.

We are looking to remove the lawsuit from state court to federal court, which is a more favorable forum for both of the defendants than Philadelphia, where the lawsuit is currently pending. However, in order to do so, we need to know the citizenship of Searay LLC. The citizenship of a limited liability company is the citizenship of each of its members. Would you be able to tell me who the members of Searay LLC are, so that we are able to remove this lawsuit? If you cannot give me the exact identities, it would be very helpful for us to know (1) how many members there are, (2) whether any members are individuals (and where they live), (3) whether any are corporations (and where they are incorporated/ where

Case 2:17-cv-01587-MSG Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 30 of 66

their principal place of business lies), and (4) whether they are other types of entities such as LLCs. Of course, if you are able to disclose their exact identities, that would be preferable.

Please feel free to call my office if that is easier, or if you have any questions. I truly appreciate any information that you are able to give to me!

Kelly Castafero

PA

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

DE

N1

OH

Kelly J. Castafero

Attorney at Law bio | e-mail | website

FI.

NY

2000 Market St. Suite 2300 Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 575-4555 Direct: (215) 575-2600 Main: Fax: (215) 575-0856

This e-mail transmission and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, are confidential and are protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, dissemination, distribution or use of any of the information contained in, or attached to this e-mail transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify me by forwarding this e-mail to KJCastafero@mdwcg.com, or by telephone at (215) 575-4555 and then delete the message and its attachments from your computer.

EXHIBIT "C"

FINE AND STAUD, LLC

BY: FEEDA R. MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D.: 202768 1333 RACE STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-1585

215-665-0100

Fmusitief@FineandStaud.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Filed and Attested by the Office of Judicial Records
21 MAR 2017/10:59 am
D) COTTON

JULIAN BRADLEY

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

February Term 2017

Plaintiff,

NO.: 007699

VS.

EASYACC.COM, INC.

and

AMAZON.COM, INC.

Defendants

:

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, FEEDA R. MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and correct copy of Complaint and Discovery, Civil Action in the above captioned matter upon Defendant, Amazon.Com. Inc. by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, which was accepted on March 15, 2017. A copy of the Return Receipt Card is attached here and marked as Exhibit "A".

FINE AND STAUD LLC

FEEDAR MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT "A"

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVI	ERY			
Complete Items 1, 2, and 3, Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.	A. Signature X	☐ Agent ☐ Address			
Attach this card to the back of the mallplece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address different from item	2. Date of Delive			
Article Addressed to:	If YES, enter delivery address below:				
Amazon, Com. bre, 410 tehnyavanue Hore Seattle, WA 98109	L Maria Control of the Control of th				
Scottle, WA 98109	3. Service Type □ Certified-Mall □ Express Mall □ Registered □ Insured Mall □ C.O.D.	pt for Merchandle			
	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes			
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label 7008 3230	0001 5841 1230 -				
	um Receipt	102595-02-M-15			

EXHIBIT "D"

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: KEITH D. HEINOLD
Attorney ID. No. 30244
2000 Market Street
Suite 2300
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-575-2640 (P)
215-575-0856 (F)
kdheinold@mdwcg.com



JULIAN BRADLEY : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

v.

;

EASYACC.COM, INC. : FEBRUARY TERM, 2017

and

AMAZON.COM, INC. : NO. 7699

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of defendant, Amazon.com, Inc., in the abovecaptioned matter.

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: /s/ Keith D. Heinold

KEITH D. HEINOLD Attorney for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.

DATED: March 30, 2017

JULIAN BRADLEY v. EASYACC.COM, INC. and AMAZON.COM, INC.	COURT OF COMMON PLEASURE to by the PHILADELPHIA COUNTRY Ducket late Redords 2 Mark 1917 16 pm FEBRUARY TERM, 2017 NO. 07699
9	ORDER
AND NOW, this day of _	, 2017, upon consideration of
the Preliminary Objections of Defendant,	Amazon.com, Inc., and any responses thereto, it is
hereby ORDERED and DECREED that	t Amazon.com, Inc.'s Preliminary Objections are
SUSTAINED. It is further ORDERED that	t:
 Plaintiff's claim for punitive da prejudice; and 	mages, Count III, is hereby DISMISSED without
2. Any and all other language and/o	r allegations in the Complaint in support of recovery
of punitive damages against a	Amazon.com, Inc., including but not limited to
paragraphs 5, 12, 19, 24, 26, 27, a	and 29, are hereby STRICKEN.
	BY THE COURT

Case ID: 170207699

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: KEITH D, HEINOLD
Attorney ID. No. 30244
BY: KELLY J. CASTAFERO
Attorney ID. No. 320653
2000 Market Street
Suite 2300

Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-575-2640; 215-575-4555

kdheinold@mdwcg.com; kjcastafero@mdwcg.com

Attorney for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.

JULIAN BRADLEY

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

٧.

FEBRUARY TERM, 2017

EASYACC.COM, INC.

and

AMAZON.COM, INC.

NO. 07699

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS OF DEFENDANT, AMAZON.COM, INC., TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc. (hereinafter "Amazon"), by and through its attorneys, Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin, hereby preliminarily objects to Plaintiff's Complaint, and in support thereof, avers the following:

- 1. Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, initiated the present lawsuit by filing a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County on or about March 1, 2017. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A."
- 2. Plaintiff alleges in his Complaint that on or about March 18, 2016, Plaintiff sustained serious bodily injuries when a wireless iPhone charger manufactured by Defendant EasyAcc.com, Inc. and sold by Amazon.com, Inc. caught fire in his pant pocket. See Exhibit "A," ¶¶ 8-11.

Case ID: 170207699

3. In Count III of Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff alleges a claim for punitive

damages against both defendants. See Exhibit "A," ¶¶ 53–62 (Count III).

4. Plaintiff also includes language throughout the remainder of his Complaint to

support a claim for Punitive Damages, including allegations that Amazon was "reckless," and

allegations that Amazon was aware of prior incidents of ignitions and explosion of EasyAcc

wireless iPhone chargers. See Exhibit "A," ¶ 5, 12, 19, 24, 26, 27, and 29.

5. However, Plaintiff fails to plead sufficient facts to support his claim for punitive

damages against Amazon, and therefore Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages against Amazon

should be dismissed, and the language and/or allegations in the remainder of the Complaint in

support of a punitive damages claim should be stricken.

6. The Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure provide that a party may file

preliminary objections for "insufficient specificity in a pleading." Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(3).

7. Pennsylvania law is clear that "punitive damages are an 'extreme remedy'

available only in the most exceptional matters." Phillips v. Cricket Lighters, 883 A.2d 439, 445

(Pa. 2005).

8. Such extraordinary damages are only appropriate when a defendant's actions are

of such an outrageous nature as to demonstrate intentional, willful, wanton, or reckless conduct.

See SHV Coal, Inc. v. Cont'l Grain Co., 587 A.2d 702, 704 (Pa. 1991). See also McDaniel v.

Merck, Sharpe & Dohme, 533 A.2d 436, 447 (Pa. Super, 1987) (punitive damages may not be

awarded for misconduct which constitutes ordinary negligence such as inadvertence, mistakes, or

errors of judgment); Martin v. Johns-Manville Corp., 494 A.2d 1088, 1098 (Pa. 1985) (even

grossly negligent conduct is not sufficient to support an award of punitive damages).

Case ID: 170207699

9. The clear focus in assessing punitive damages is on the actor's conduct and state

of mind: "[t]he state of mind of the actor is vital. The act, or the failure to act, must be

intentional, reckless or malicious." Martin v. Johns-Manville, 494 A.2d 1088, 1097 n.12 (Pa.

1985), reversed on other grounds, 528 A.2d 947 (Pa. 1987) (quoting Feld v. Merriam, 485 A.2d

742 (Pa. 1994)).

10. In this case, Plaintiff fails to allege facts in his Complaint that would support the

imposition of such an extreme category of damages against Amazon, specifically that Amazon

acted with the requisite culpable mental state to support a claim for punitive damages, or specific

supporting factual allegations to substantiate his claim that Amazon was aware of prior instances

of the iPhone charger catching on fire.

11. Therefore, Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages should be deemed impertinent,

and this Court should strike Plaintiff's claims for punitive damages against Amazon without

prejudice¹ pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(2) (permitting preliminary objections for inclusion of

scandalous or impertinent matter). See Hudock v. Donegal Mut. Ins. Co., 264 A.2d 668, 671 n.2

(Pa. 1970) (noting that allegations of damages or a prayer for damages that is not recoverable in

the cause of action pled is impertinent matter and should be challenged via a preliminary

objection in the nature of a motion to strike).

WHEREFORE, Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. respectfully requests that this Honorable

Court dismiss Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages against it without prejudice, in accordance

¹ Should Plaintiff develop the requisite fact record giving rise to potential punitive relief against Amazon in the future, Plaintiff may motion to amend his Complaint at that time.

3

Case ID: 170207699

with the proposed order attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By:

KEITH D. HEINOLD Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc.

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: KEITH D, HEINOLD Attorney ID. No. 30244 BY: KELLY J, CASTAFERO

Attorney ID. No. 320653

2000 Market Street

Suite 2300

Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-575-2640; 215-575-4555

kdheinold@mdwcg.com; kjcastafero@mdwcg.com

Attorney for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.

JULIAN BRADLEY

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

٧.

FEBRUARY TERM, 2017

EASYACC.COM, INC.

and

AMAZON.COM, INC.

NO. 07699

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS OF DEFENDANT, AMAZON.COM, INC., TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

I. MATTER BEFORE THE COURT

Presently before the Court are the Preliminary Objections of Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. (hereinafter "Amazon") to Plaintiff's Complaint. Amazon requests that Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages be dismissed for lack of sufficient facts alleged to support such an extraordinary category of damages, and that any and all language and/or allegations in the Complaint in support of punitive damages be stricken.

II. STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS INVOLVED

Should Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages against Amazon.com, Inc. be dismissed, and any and all language in support of punitive damages be stricken from the Complaint, where Plaintiff has not alleged any facts demonstrating egregious conduct on the part of Amazon?

Suggested Answer: Yes.

Case ID: 170207699

III. <u>FACTS</u>

Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, initiated the present lawsuit by filing a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County on or about March 1, 2017. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A." Plaintiff alleges in his Complaint that on or about March 18, 2016, Plaintiff sustained serious bodily injury when a wireless iPhone charger manufactured by Defendant EasyAcc.com, Inc. and sold by Amazon.com, Inc. caught fire in his pant pocket. See Exhibit "A," ¶¶ 8–11.

Plaintiff alleges claims of negligence and strict liability against both defendants. See Exhibit "A." In Count III of Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff alleges a claim for punitive damages against both defendants. See Exhibit "A," ¶¶ 53–62 (Count III). Plaintiff also includes conclusory language throughout the remainder of his Complaint that support a claim for punitive damages, including allegations that Amazon was "reckless," and allegations that Amazon was aware of prior incidents of ignitions and explosion. See Exhibit "A," ¶¶ 5, 12, 19, 24, 26, 27, and 29. However, Plaintiff fails to allege even one specific instance in which the subject iPhone charger caught fire, and does not include any specific supporting factual allegations in his Complaint to substantiate Plaintiff's threadbare claim that Amazon was aware of prior instances. None of the factual allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint rise to the level required for punitive damages, and, apart from boilerplate allegations that Amazon's conduct was "reckless," there are no specific factual allegations of egregious conduct that would support a punitive damages claim against Amazon. In fact, Plaintiff's Complaint is generally devoid of any specific facts other than the purchase of the iPhone charger at issue, the circumstances of the alleged accident, and the nature of Plaintiff's alleged injuries. See generally Exhibit "A."

Case ID: 170207699

Absent the factual predicate, Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages against Amazon should be stricken. Therefore, Amazon now objects to Plaintiff's Complaint on the basis that the allegations of the Complaint do not support Plaintiff's claim for punitive relief as a matter of law.

IV. ARGUMENT

A. Plaintiff's Claim for Punitive Damages Should be Dismissed Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(2) Because the Allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint Do Not Support an Award for Punitive Damages

Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1028(a)(2) permits preliminary objections for the inclusion of scandalous or impertinent matter. See Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(2). An allegation of damages or prayer for damages that is not legally recoverable in the cause of action pled is impertinent matter and considered "irrelevant to that cause of action." Hudock v. Donegal Mut. Ins. Co., 264 A.2d 668, 671 n.2 (Pa. 1970). Thus, a preliminary objection in the nature of a motion to strike impertinent matter is the proper means to challenge an erroneous category of damages. Id. The Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure also provide that a party may file preliminary objections for "insufficient specificity in a pleading." Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(3).

Pennsylvania law is clear that "punitive damages are an 'extreme remedy' available only in the most exceptional matters." Phillips v. Cricket Lighters, 883 A.2d 439, 445 (Pa. 2005). Punitive damages may not be awarded for misconduct which constitutes ordinary negligence such as inadvertence, mistakes, or errors of judgment. McDaniel v. Merck, Sharpe & Dohme, 533 A.2d 436, 447 (Pa. Super. 1987); Takes v. Metropolitan Edison Co., 695 A.2d 397, 399 n.4 (Pa. 1997). Even grossly negligent conduct is not sufficient to support an award of punitive damages. See Martin v. Johns-Manville Corp., 494 A.2d 1088, 1098 (Pa. 1985). Rather, such extraordinary damages are only appropriate when a defendant's actions are of such an outrageous nature as to demonstrate intentional, willful, wanton, or reckless conduct. See SHV Coal, Inc. v.

Case ID: 170207699

Cont'l Grain Co., 587 A.2d 702, 704 (Pa. 1991). The clear focus in assessing punitive damages

is on the actor's conduct and state of mind: "[t]he state of mind of the actor is vital. The act, or

the failure to act, must be intentional, reckless or malicious." Martin v. Johns-Manville, 494

A.2d 1088, 1097 n.12 (Pa. 1985), reversed on other grounds, 528 A.2d 947 (Pa. 1987) (quoting

Feld v. Merriam, 485 A.2d 742 (Pa. 1994)).

In his Complaint, Plaintiff makes conclusory, non-specific allegations against Amazon in

an effort to support his claim for punitive damages, including that Amazon acted in a reckless

manner, and that Amazon had knowledge of previous instances in which the EasyAcc wireless

charger spontaneously caught fire. However, Plaintiff fails to support these broad allegations

with specific facts and examples regarding Amazon's alleged "outrageous" or "reckless"

behavior, and why the imposition of punitive damages is proper. Plaintiff generally asserts that

Amazon had knowledge of prior incidents involving the subject iPhone charger, but sold the

iPhone to the public nonetheless. However, Plaintiff does not asserting any requisite

foundational facts which would set forth a good faith basis for these allegations in the first

instance. See generally Exhibit "A." Without more, Plaintiff has not pled, and likely cannot

plead at this point, the punitive damages claim against Amazon, which is at the very least

premature. These broad factual allegations alone simply do not establish conduct demonstrating

a culpable metal state necessary to prove evil motive or reckless indifference that could support

an award of punitive damages against Amazon.

Therefore, as Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages are unsupported by any specific

factual allegations, the claim should be deemed impertinent, and this Court should strike

Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages against Amazon without prejudice² pursuant to

² Should Plaintiff develop the requisite fact record giving rise to potential punitive relief against Amazon in the

4

future, Plaintiff may motion to amend his Complaint at that time.

Case ID: 170207699

Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1028(a)(2). Further, the allegations in support of punitive damages are not sufficiently specific, and should be dismissed pursuant to Rule 1028(a)(3).

V. RELIEF

For all the reasons set forth above, Amazon.com, Inc. requests that this Honorable Court sustain its Preliminary Objections, dismiss Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages, and strike any and all language in support of punitive damages from Plaintiff's Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By:

Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc.

VERIFICATION

Keith D. Heinold, Esquire states that he is the attorney for Amazon.com, Inc.; that he is

acquainted with the facts set forth in the foregoing Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's

Complaint, that the same are true and correct based upon information provided to him; and that

this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn

fred Mild

falsification to authorities.

DATED: 3

Case ID: 170207699

EXHIBIT "A"

Case ID: 170207699

Court of Common Pleas o Trial Div Civil Cove	ision	FEBRUARY 2	27. 7007 6 99
PLAINTIFFS NAME JULIAN BRADLEY		DEFENDANTS HAVE AMAZON . COM, INC.	######################################
PLAINTIFFS ADDRESS 319 E. MILL ROAD HATBORO PA 19040		DEFENDANTS ADDRESS 410 TERRY AVENUE SEATTLE WA 98109	NORTH
PLAINTIFF'S NAME	وه قدو مداه هند کند دی چوپ کی بهها بیشت مستخدم استخدام و میشود بیشتر از پایگی	DEFENDANTS NAME AMAZON, COM, INC.	
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS		DEFENDANTS ADDRESS XYZ XYZ PA 19107	
PLAINTIFF'S NANCE		DEFERDANTS NAME	
PLAINTIPPS ADDRESS	- Alexandra de Caractería de C	DEPENDANTS AODRESS	
1.	2	是"是你是你的。" 计	n Action Notice of Appeal for From Other Jurisdictions
☐ \$50,000.00 or less ☐ Arbi	Jury Jury	For Committee Minor	Terre Scalement Court Appeal Minors Ory Appeals W/D/Survival
CASE TYPE AND CODE 20 - PERSONAL INJURY STATUTORY BASIS FOR CAUGE OF ACTION	OME		
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE CA		FILED PROPROTHY AR 01 2017	IS CASE SUBJECT TO COORDINATION ORDER? YES NO
K. EDWARDS			
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on b Papers may be served at the addr		Appellant: <u>JULIAN BRADL</u>	EY
NAME OF PLANTIFF SPETTIONER SIAPPELLANT'S ATTORNEY FEEDA R. MUSITIEF PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER		ADDRESS FINE AND STAUD 1333 RACE STREE PHILADELPHIA PA	· ·
(215) 665-0100 SUPREME COUNT IDENTIFICATION NO. 202768	(215) 665-1393	E.MAL ADDRESS £musitief@finea	.ndstaud.com
DIGNATURE OF FLING ATTORNEY OR PARTY FEBDA MUSITIEF		Medneaday, Marc	sh 01, 2017, 10:00 am

FINAL COPY (Approved by the Prothonotary Clerk)

Case ID: 170207699

. . . expression extension to expression description of the constitution of the consti

FINE AND STAUD, LLC

BY: FEBDAR. MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D.: 202768 1333 RACE STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-1585

215-665-0100

Finusitief@FineandStaud.com

JULIAN BRADLEY 319 B. Mill Road

Hatboro, PA 19040

Plaintiff,

VS.

EASYACC.COM, INC.

and

AMAZON.COM, INC. 410 Terry Avenue North Scattle, WA 98109

Defendants

ATTORNBYS FOR PLAINTIFF



COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

TERM 2017

NO.:

•

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

NOTICE TO PLEAD

NOTICE.

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you.

You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without finther notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER

AVISO

"Le hand demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas expuestas en las páginas siguientes; usted tiene veinto (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demunda y la notificación. Hace falla asentar una comparencia escrita en persona e con un abegado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objectones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomará medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso e notificación. Además, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero e sus propiedades u etros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA À UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE, SI NO TIBNE ABOGADO O SI NO TIBNE EL DINBRO SUFICIENTE DE FAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAMB POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

ASOCIACION DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

LEGAL, SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE,

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION Lawyer Referral & Information Service 1101 Market Street, 11th Pioor Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 238-6333 TTY# (215) 451-6197 Servicio De Referencia E. Informacion 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor (215) 238-6333 TTY# (215) 451-6197

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

FINE AND STAUD, LLC

BY: FEBDAR, MUSITIEF, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D.: 202768 1333 RACE STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-1585

215-665-0100

Fmusitief@FineandStaud.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

MAJOR JURY

JULIAN BRADLEY 319 E. Mill Road

Hatboro, PA 19040

Plaintiff,

EASYACC, COM, INC.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

TERM 2017

NO.:

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

and

AMAZON,COM, INC. 410 Terry Avenue North Seattle, WA 98109

Defendants

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, by and through his attorneys, Pine and Staud, LLC states he has multiple causes of action against Defendants, Hasyaco.com, Ino and Amazon.com, Ino and in support thereof avers the following:

I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, is an adult individual and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at the above stated address.
- 2. Defendant, Easyace.com, Inc ("EasyAce"), upon information and belief, is a corporation existing by virtue of the laws of China/Hong Kong which regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with substantial contacts in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. After reasonable investigation. Plaintiff is unaware of a good address for service of the Complaint for Defendant, EasyAcc.

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

- 3. EasyAco is vicariously liable for the negligent and/or reckless acts and/or omissions of its employees, servants, workmen, and/or agents who at all material times were acting or failing to act in the course and scope of their employment, authority, and/or agency. At all material times, Easyace was the manufacturer of EasyAco Ichoc Power Bank 5000 which is an external battery pack charger for Iphone and other smart devices (hereinafter "Charger").
- 4. Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc ("Amazon"), upon information and belief, is a corporation and online commerce giant which regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with substantial contacts in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and with offices at the above stated address.
- 5. Amazon is vicariously liable for the negligent acts and/or omissions of its employees, servants, workmen, and/or agents who at all material times were acting or failing to act in the course and scope of their employment, authority, and/or agency.
- 6. At all material times, Amazon sold and distributed the Charger.

II, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Jurisdiction and Venue are proper in the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in that Defendants regularly conduct business in Philadelphia and all events relevant to this matter occurred in Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,

III, OPERATIVE FACTS

- 8. On or about July 4, 2015, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley's fiancée, Lauren Henriques, purchased for him the Charger to charge his Iphone under order # 115-3206735-0713052 from Amazon.
- 9. At all material times, the Charger was wireless.
- ·10. On or about March 18, 2016, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, was charging his Iphone and placed his Iphone and the Charger in his right leg Jean's pocket.

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

11. At all times relevant, while Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, was charging his Iphone using the Charger, the Charger, suddenly and without warning, ignited, exploded and caught fire causing severe and permanent injuries to Plaintiff.

12. The incident and the injuries suffered by Plaintiff were caused solely by the negligence, carelessness, and recklessness of Defendants, Amazon and EasyAcc, jointly and/or severally.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> <u>JULIAN BRADLEY Y. DEFENDANTS</u>

13. Plaintiff incorporates all of the proceeding paragraphs of this Complaint as set forth fully at length herein.

14. Defendants did manufacture, sell and/or distribute the charger in question.

15. At all material times, Defendants owned, manufactured, distributed, controlled and/or otherwise caused the charger to be placed into the stream of commerce.

16. At all material times, Defendants were responsible for manufacturing and distributing the charger in a condition suitable and safe for operation by members of the public including Plaintiff.

17. At all material times, the charger was unreasonably dangerous and unsafe for use by the average consumer as it could suddenly ignite, explode, and catch fire posing serious harm to members of the public.

18. Defendants knew or should have known that the charger was capable of igniting, exploding, and catching fire while being used as intended.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendants were aware of prior incidents involving the sudden ignition and explosion of the charger in question but continued to market and distribute the charger.

20. Defendants knew or should have known that the Charger sold to Plaintiff was not reasonably safe.

21. At all times relevant, Defendants failed to warn the public including but not limited to the dangers involved in using this charger.

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

- 22. At all material times, the dangers posed to Plaintiff from the Charger were latent and unknown to him.
- 23. At all material times, the charger and its packaging did not warn that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and otherwise catch fire.
- 24. The latent danger was created by the negligence and recklessness of Defendants.
- 25. At all material times, Defendants failed to warn consumers including Plaintiff of the dangers posed from the charger including but not limited to the fact that it could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire while being used as intended,
- 26. Plaintiff's injuries were caused solely by the negligence and recklessness of the Defendants.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and recklessness, Plaintiff was caused to suffer severe and permanent injuries as described below.
- 28. Defendant's negligence consisted of but is not limited to the following:

1

- a. Failing to warn Plaintiff that the charger was defective;
- b. Failing to warn that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire;
- Failing to warn that the charger's battery could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire;
- d. Failing to warn of the latent and hidden danger created from using the charger as intended;
- Failing to properly redesign, remanufacture, repair and/or maintain said charger before it was sold or distributed;
- f. Permitting a defective and dangerous charger to be used by Plaintiff;
- g. Failing to eliminate, repair and maintain the aforesaid defective charger;
- Allowing and permitting there to exist a dangerous and defective condition after actual and/or constructive notice of said defect;

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

- Manufacturing, distributing and selling a charger that they knew or should have known could ignite, explode and catch fire;
- Failing to take the charger out of stream of commerce after actual and/or constructive notice of its defective condition;
- k. Failing to warn the Plaintiff and others similarly situated of the aforesald defective and dangerous condition of the charger;
- 1. Allowing and permitting said charger to be and exist in a defective condition;
- m. Failing to maintain the charger in a good state of repair and condition before it was put in the chain of commerce;
- n. Failing to properly and adequately inspect the aforesaid defective charger so as to determine the condition thereof;
- o. Violating applicable laws, codes, and ordinances with respect to the care, maintenance, control and repair of the charger provided to Plaintiff;
- p. Negligent hiring of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- q. Negligent training of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- r. Negligent supervision of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- s. Failing to properly fix the dangerous condition;
- t, Failing to remove the dangerous condition;
- u. Falling to warn of the dangerous condition;
- v. Failing to provide a safe electrical system and/or battery in the charger;
- Failing to provide proper materials and component parts when manufacturing the charger;
- x. Failing to design the aforesaid charger in a safe condition;
- Creating, manufacturing and distributing the charger that could suddenly ignite,
 explode, and catch fire while being used as intended;

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

z. Inadequate design of the charger, and

aa. Failing to exercise caution and care for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and

others.

29. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness, negligence and recklessness of Defendants,

jointly, severally, and/or vicarlously, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, sustained serious and permanent bodily

injuries including without limitation, injuries to his muscles, thigh, log, hand, sears, searring wounds,

second degree deep burn on upper right thigh, burns and sears on right thigh/leg, left hand burn,

swelling, redness, blisters, muscle pain, and aggravation of pre-existing conditions if any, other ills and

injuries some or all of which injuries may be permanent; Plaintiff was prevented from attending to his

usual duties and activities, has and continues to suffer pain and suffering of mind and body, mental

anguish and distress; in addition, he has suffered and/or will suffer lost wages, a loss of earnings and/or

earning capacity and other economic losses including but not limited to outstanding medical bills and

the need for future medical treatment and care all to his financial detriment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Julian Bradley, hereby requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment

in his favor and against Defendants, Easyaco.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc, jointly and/or severally,

in a sum greater than \$50,000.00 plus interest, delay damages, and costs of suit.

COUNT II
STRICT LIABILITY
THE TAN BRADLEY V. DEFENDANTS

30. Plaintiff incorporates all of the proceeding paragraphs of this Complaint as set forth fully at length

herein.

31. The charger was designed, manufactured, developed, distributed, assembled, produced, inspected,

licensed, promoted, packaged, processed, compounded, labeled, specified or recommended, certified,

marketed, sold, or otherwise supplied and placed in the stream of commerce as heretofore set forth by

Defendants in a manner which was not in a good and proper workmanlike fashion, in a defective

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

condition unreasonably dangerous to the ultimate users, consumers, and bysianders, including Plaintiff Julian Bradley.

- 32. The charger was expected to and did reach the ultimate users, consumers, and bystanders, including Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, without substantial change or alteration and in the same or substantially the same condition as when it was manufactured, sold, labeled, or distributed, while in or as it left the possession and control of Defendants.
- 33. The aforementioned charger was defective and unsafe when it left the control of Defendants, in that it was not safe for the reasonably foreseeable use which subjected Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, to serious injuries when the aforementioned charger was used in a reasonable and foreseeable manner.
- 34. The charger was defectively designed and/or manufactured in that it was capable of catching fire and seriously injuring consumers.
- 35. The charger posed an unreasonable danger to intended users such as Plaintiff due to its defect.
- 36. The charger was defective and unsafe because it could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire causing severe and permanent injuries to Plaintiff.
- 37. Upon information and belief, the charger was defective and unreasonably dangerous as it did not contain all appropriate safety mechanisms and safeguards to prevent the aforesaid harm from occurring.
- 38. The charger was defectively and negligently manufactured and/or distributed by Defendants causing the product to be unreasonably dangerous and causing the aforementioned barm to occur.
- 39. The design of the charger poses unreasonable danger to the public,
- 40. Upon information and belief, the charger contained defective components and warnings causing the product to be unreasonably dangerous and causing the aforementioned harm to occur.
- 41. The charger that injured Plaintiff was in the same or substantially the same condition at the time it injured Plaintiff as compared to the time it left the control of the Defendants.
- 42. The charger was being used for its intended purpose at the time it injured Plaintiff,

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

43. Upon information and belief, at all material times hereto, the warnings if any accompanying the charger were defective and did not properly inform and warn the public as to the hidden danger created by the charger which included that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire:

44. Upon information and belief, the warnings if any, contained on or with the charger, issued by Defendants did not adequately and fully inform the public on the safe use of the product including but not limited to properly use it for its intended purpose.

45. Defendants failed to warn concerning the hazard posed from the ordinary usage of the charger,

46. Due to the defective condition(s) of the product and warnings, a significant danger was permitted to exist which caused the harm suffered by Plaintiff.

47. At all material times, this danger was latent and unknown to Plaintiff but appreciated and known by Defendants.

48. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned unsafe and defective condition(s) of the charger, Plaintiff sustained severe and permanent injuries as previously mentioned above.

49. Defendants are strictly liable to the Plaintiff pursuant to 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts.

50. The aforementioned charger was not equipped with every element necessary to make it safe for reasonably foresecable use.

51. Defendants are strictly liable for the injuries Plaintiff suffered as a result of his use of the defective charger, which at all pertinent times was under the exclusive control of the Defendants.

52. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned unsafe and defective condition of the Charger, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, sustained the injuries and damages set as aforementioned.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, hereby requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants, Easyaco.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc, jointly and/or severally, in a sum greater than \$50,000.00 plus interest, delay damages, and costs of suit.

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

COUNT 111 PUNITIVE DAMAGE JULIAN BRADLEY V. DEFENDANTS

- 53. The averments of all preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. At all material times, the production and distribution of the charger was substantially below industry standards and done with reckless disregard for the safety of the public including Julian Bradley.
- 55. Defendants knew and/or recklessly disregarded the fact that the charger Plaintiff was using was highly dangerous and could result in serious injury.
- 56. Upon information and belief, Defendants were well aware prior to Plaintiff's injury that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire.
- 57. Upon information and belief, other incidents where the charger suddenly ignited, exploded and caught fire have occurred before and after Plaintiff's incident which have caused injury or substantially endangered members of the public but Defendants recklessly, wantonly, and with great deliberate indifference to the well-being of public continued to market and sell the charger without change and without adequate warning to the public.
- 58. Defendants deliberately and/or recklessly made no effort to warn the public including Plaintiff to the known risks of the charger creating an unreasonable risk of physical harm to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 59. Defendants' recklessness and reckless disregard consisted of but is not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to warn Plaintiff that the charger was defective;
 - Selling and distributing a product known to Defendants to suddenly ignite,
 explode and catch fire;
 - c. Concealing that the charger could suddenly ignite, explode and catch fire;
 - d. Pailing to warn of the latent and hidden dauger created from permitting the use of the charger;

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

- Falling to properly repair and maintain said charger before it was sold/distributed;
- f. Permitting a defective and dangerous charger to be used by Plaintiff;
- g. Pailing to eliminate, repair and maintain the aforesaid defective charger;
- h. Marketing and selling a product known to Defendants to cause serious harm or injury;
- Allowing and permitting there to exist a dangerous and defective condition after actual and/or constructive notice of said defect;
- Failing to take the charger out of stream of commerce after actual and/or constructive notice of its defective condition;
- k. Failing to warn the Plaintiff and others similarly situated of the aforesaid defective and dangerous condition of the charger;
- Allowing and permitting said charger to be and exist in a defective condition;
- m. Failing to maintain the charger in a good state of repair and condition before it was put in the chain of commerce;
- Failing to properly and adequately inspect the aforesaid defective charger so as
 to determine the condition thereof;
- o. Violating applicable laws, codes, and ordinances with respect to the care, maintenance, control and repair of the charger provided to Plaintiff;
- p. Reckless hiring of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- q. Reckless training of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- r. Reckless supervision of contractors, employees, workmen, and servants;
- s. Failing to properly treat the dangerous condition;
- t. Failing to remove the dangerous condition;
- u. Failing to warn of the dangerous condition; and

Case (D: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

v. Failing to exercise caution and care for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others.

w. Failing to provide a safe electrical system in the charger;

x. Falling to provide proper materials and component parts when manufacturing the charger;

 Falling to redesign and remanufacture the charger after knowing of the serious danger posed to consumers;

z. Failing to design the aforesaid charger in a safe condition; and

 aa. Failing to exercise caution and care for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others.

60. Defendants' actions demonstrate recklessness and reckless disregard to Plaintiff's health and well being,

61. As a direct result of Defendants' recklessness and deliberate indifference, Plaintiff suffered injuries as pled above.

62. Punitive damages are appropriate against Defendants to deter further harmful inaction and/or conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Julian Bradley, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, Easyace.com, Inc and Amazon.com, Inc, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of Pifty Thousand Dollars, (\$50,000.00) including compensatory damages, punitive damages, costs of litigation, interest, and delay damages, and all other relief deemed just and appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

FINE AND STAUD, LIJC

Feeda R. Musitief, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

VERIFICATION

I, Julian Bradley, hereby state that I am the Plaintiff in this matter and that the averments contained in the foregoing pleading/discovery are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and further that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification.

Julian Bradley

Case ID: 170207699

Case ID: 170207699

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served upon all persons listed below a true and correct copy of the foregoing Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Complaint, in the above-captioned matter, this date by electronic filing:

Kelly J. Castafero

Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc.

Date: 3/29/1

Case ID: 170207699

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JULIAN	BRADLEY	CIVIL ACTION			
v. EASYACC.COM, INC. and AMAZON.COM, INC.		NO.			
	RULE 7.1 DISCLOSURE	OF DEFENDANT, AMAZON.COM, INC.			
P	lease check one box:				
\boxtimes	The nongovernmental corporate party, Amazon.com, Inc., in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.				
	in the above listed civil	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:			
		MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN BY: KEITH D. HEINOLD Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc. 215-575-2640 (P); 215-575-0856(F) kdheinold@mdwcg.com			

Dated: 4/6/17

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JULIAN	BRADLEY	: CIVIL ACTION			
v. EASYACC.COM, INC. and AMAZON.COM, INC.		: NO. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :			
		RE OF DEFENDANT, AMAZON.COM, INC.			
P	lease check one box:				
\boxtimes	in the above listed civ	The nongovernmental corporate party, Amazon.com, Inc., in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.			
	in the above listed civ	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:			
		MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN BY: KEITH D. HEINOLD Attorney for Defendant, Amazon.com, Inc. 215-575-2640 (P); 215-575-0856(F) kdheinold@mdwcg.com			